Mysore

G R.S. IX-5]

Previous references.
Mscy 32-13-15-16
417-21-1-4

1923.
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 153-23
Serial Nos. 1-5

Later references.

6:-24 1-5
6-11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 5

Part B for August 1924

SUBJECT

Petition from Syed Kutbuddin praying that as he has now come to realise that the rightful claimant to the Inamdar's post at The Raja Hussain Durga at Balepet, Bangalore city, is one Syed Ismail the appointment may be conferred upon him.

DR 216 dated 7.8.24

To the Revenue Comr.

Since Syed Ismail died, no further action is called for on his previous petition and he may be allowed to continue in the appointment

G. R. S. III—1]

1917 23-24

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mysore

NOTES.

File No. 153-23

FILE HEADING.

Nayar Hussain dargah at Mangalore

From

No.	Dated	Received
Diary No.	Enclos. Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Muz. 153-23-1.

D. no. 589

Petition from Syed Kutbudin residing at Kandikere, Chikmagalur Taluk.

1) The petr. states that under G.O. no. 1973-Muz. 32-13 dt 20-10-1921, he has been declared as the Muzavar of Raja Hussain Darga at Balepet, Bangalore, and prays that as he has now come to realize that the right and claim to the muzavarship is one Syed Issak, the post may be conferred upon the latter.

2) The claims of the individuals referred to have been discussed in Muz no. 32 1913

(Muz. 7. 32-13 put up.)

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be cipoed, or slips pasted on this form

13

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

3) A Ltr forwarding the petition of the Municipal Council for ~~disposal or release of~~ ~~necessary~~ enquiry and early report is submitted for approval or orders.

W 23/11/23

4) Done

23 11 23.

2. To the Municipal Council.

No. 769 d. 30. 11. 23.

Forwards the above petition for ~~enquiry & report~~ early enquiry & report.

Sd

N. B. -Shouldroutine notes be written on small slips the notes must b cipoed, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. III·2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. | of 192 .

Serial No.

3. From, the Muzrai Comr., Madras, letter no. C. 533 Dy 16.7.1924.

Recommended that as Syed Khuthubsin has entirely relinquished his claim to the muzawarship of Raja Hussain Dargah at Kadapa, Bangalore, Syed Ibrahim Ali, an ex-in-law of the late male holder who has been officiating doing the duties in the Dargah may be appointed a Muzawar as proposed by the local authorities.

5) As regards Syed Khuthubsin, it is stated that he did not enter upon his duties since his appointment as muzawar in 1921 and that he holds the office of Khazi at Kandikere in the Chickanayakanahalli Taluk and that he is not educated nor competent to conduct mos

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561 - GPB—3750—1 8 22

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. of 192 .

Serial No

Sundal etc and is idling his time in bad company.

Submitted for orders.

19.7.19 19.7.19

4. Rep. dated ... from Kulbuddin stating that Syed Saheb on behalf of whom he wanted to accept the post is dead and that as Govt. have already accepted him as the hereditary of the place, he may be appd in preference to the one now recommended by Board Authorities.

6) G.O.No. 1973/Mur. 32-13 16 & 20.10.21 gives a genealogical Table according to which the present applicant was found to be the hereditary of the place, and as he was rejected by the DJ court to be "fit and competent for the Mangalore post" his appln to the post was rejected.

7) Syed Shaheb has been doing the duties all along and Kulbuddi whose appln was rejected in the G.O. above referred to petitioned

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561 GPB—3750—18 22

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 53 of 1922

Serial No.

Hyd. that Syed Essack's claim to the post was superior to his and that Syed Essack should be appointed in his stead. The petn having been sent to the Muz Comr for report, the local officers report (and the Muz Comr agrees with them) that Syed Shah who has been doing the duties may be confirmed in the post. Kuthbuddin is now said to be living his time in his company.

8) Kuthbuddin has now petitioned Hyd. that Syed Essack on behalf of whom he held petn has since died and begs that as he has been already recognised to be the nearest of the last holder, the appointment may be conferred upon him.

9) This petn may, if approved, be sent to the Muz Comr for enquiry & report as to whether the petr is otherwise eligible for the post taking the previous G.O. in the matter should be given effect to.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1-8 22

28/2/24

650/25.7

2nd member

25.7.25.

650

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 153 of 1923.

Serial No

Sheet is the property of Syed Khadbuddin
Sheet was sent to the Muz Comm for report
vide Secy's letter dt 30-11-23.

(29-d

1b) In his petn dt nil rcd on 20/11/23,
Syed Khadbuddin has requested
his office of Peishkar abolished
wanted it to be transferred to Syed Ishak
for reasons stated in his petn.
I agree with the Muz Comm that this request of his cannot be granted,
as in case of
his relinquishing his office it will have a bad
& any nominee of his but & Sheikh Hassan may
be declared by him first & he in next turn entitled
& succeed him.

11) However as Syed Khadbuddin has now
submitted another petn (dt 21-7-24) in which
he has asked that he may be
allowed & continue in his appointment as
Syed Saab in which favor he was prepared &
relinquish it last June, no further
action is called for on his present petn.

12) Vide orders on the observations made by
Secy vide his petn - that
the mans title to office by Kazi at Kandukur on
C-W-India. Does he not Estimate or competent
& conduct such ceremony. It is clear that
he never entered as such on his duties as
Peishkar & the Durga in question since he appointed
& us in 1921 the Muz Comm was

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561- GPB—3750—1 8 22

G. R. S. III·2]

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 15-3 of 1923

Serial No.

[illegible] ... [illegible] ... [illegible] ... [

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Muzrai Secretariat
( )
, *the* 192 .

Date of issue 30.11.23

Signed by Mr. T. Ramaiya

Sec. Muz.
File No. 153-23.
Serial No. 2.

No. 769
two

To The Muz. Comr.

Sir,

Adverting to G.O.No. 1973-Muz. 32-13 dt 20th October 1921 sanctioning the appointment of Syed Kutbudin as Muz of Raja Hussain Dargah Balepet, Bangalore, I am directed to forward herewith for his ...

over.

ID

enquiry and very early report
~~dispatch or report if~~
~~necessary~~. petition regd. no.
589 from the said
Syed Kutbudin praying
that as he has now come
to realize that the rightful
claimant to the said
post is one Syed Ishak,
the appointment may
be conferred upon
him.

I have etc.

23/11/23

Dy Secretary,
Rev. Dept.
RA
23/11/23

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27-7 22

No. C 5330 of 23-24
Encl file of Records.

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore,
Bangalore, Dated 15th July 1924.

16

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
17 JUN 1924
MUZRAI

From

K. Chandy, Esq, B.A.
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

03937

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esq, B.A.
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Dno. 85

6.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 769/Muz. 153-23-2 dated the 30th November 1923 forwarding for enquiry and report the petition of Syed Khutbudeen appointed by Government as Mazavar of the Raja Hussain Darga at Balepet, Bangalore City, stating that he has now realized that Syed Esak is the rightful claimant to the Muzavar's post and requesting that the appointment may be conferred upon him, I have the honour to state that in connection with the appeal of Syed Sha ali against the order of the Deputy - - Commissioner, Bangalore District, appointing Syed Esak as Muzavar of the Darga and the petition of Syed Khutbudin advancing his claim for the post as grand-son of Syed Khasim, the last male holder, Government went into the case fully and held in their order No. 1973/Muz. 32-13-16 dated 20th October 1921 that Syed Khutbudin was a nearer heir than Syed Esak and appointed him as Muzavar. Subsequently Syed Esak presenteda review petition and this was rejected by Government in May 1922. This petition has now been put forward by the petitioner Syed Khutbudin as the rightful claimant, being the brother's son of the last male holder. The circumstances which led the petitioner to resign the place in favour of one who was till lately his opponent is rather curious. Syed Khutbudin is stated to have never entered upon his duties since his appointment as Muzavar in 1921. Moreover it is reported that he holds the office of Khazi at Kandikere in the Chicknaikanhalli Taluk and that he is not educated nor competent to conduct Urus Sandal etc, and is idling his time in bad company.

2. Now that he has entirely relinquished his claim in favour of another, it is open to Government to appoint as Muzavar one best suited for the post. The Amildar Bangalore Taluk recommends that Syed Sha ali who is satisfactorily discharging the ~~xxxx~~ duties of Muzavar for over 30 years may be allowed to continue in the place. Both the Deputy Commissioner and the Sub Division Officer support the Amildar's recommendation Since Syed Sha ali is found to be the son-in-law of the last male holder and has all along been doing the duties in the Darga he may be appointed as Muzavar as proposed by the Deputy Commissioner in his letter No.O.C.2138/23-24 dated 28th April 1924 copy of which is herein enclosed together with the petition of Syed Khutbudin.

(2)

The records received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter are submitted herewith for reference and kind return.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

M.A.N.

Letter No.O.C.2138 dated 28th April 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner,Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

=======

With reference to your letter No.C.533 of 23-24 dated the 12th December 1923, forwarding for report the petition of Syed Kutbudeen,Muzavar of Raja Hussain Darga at Balepet,Bangalore City, I have the honour to forward herewith the entire records ending with the Bangalore Sub Division Officer's yadi No.H.C.379 of 23-24 dated 8th April 1924 and to state asfollows;==

2. On the appeal petition dated 24th September 1919 of the present petitioner Kutbudeen a detailed enquiry was held and during that enquiry Syed Kutbudeen and Syed Isak presented two different geneological trees, the former stating that the last office holder Syed Khader(Syed Isak's father) were cousins and not uterine brothers. This statement was proved by the statements of three witnesses as already reported in this office letter No.H.C. 459 of 19-20 dated 5th January 1921 to the Muzrai Secretary. On the otherhand Syed Isak stated that they were uterine brothers. Though he was given time to prove his statement he could not do so The present allegation made by the petition(viz that they were uterine brothers) is oper to question.

3. As regards the duties of the Muzavar in the Darga the Amildar reports that though the Government order appointing the petitioner as a Muzavar was intimated to him so far back as 9th November 1921 he did not enter upon the duties and that Syed Shali alone is working as Muzavar continuously for ever thirty years. It is also reported that Syed Kutbudeen is also a Khaji of Kandikere in the Chiknaikanhalli Taluk of Tumkur District. As he is reported to be not working as Muzavar, I propose that as recommended by both Amildar and the Sub Division Officer that Syed Shali who is looking after the duties of Muzavar continuously for over thirty years may be ordered to continue until the barawarddar turns up and proved to be satisfactory. The petition received

with your letter is also herewith returned.

"C O P Y"

for Muzrai Commissioner. 15/5/24.

MAN.

GEN. & REV. SEC... 21 JUN... MUZ...

To

The Muzrai Secretary to Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

Respected Sir,

I most humbly and respectfully beg to bring the following to your kind consideration and favourable orders.

I was appointed as Muzavar of Raja Husain Durga of Ballepet Bangalore City according to G.O. No.1976 Muz.32-16-16 dated 20-10-1921. As I had to attend to some urgent domestic affairs such as the illness of my wife and child at Gubbi, I handed over the charge of the management of the Durga to my uncle Syed Isak who was a resident of Bangalore.

My uncle Syed Isak breathed his last without leaving any issue about two months back. But now, when I offered myself to take charge of the Durga, Syed Salik, Jamedar of the Deputy Commissioner's Office, Bangalore, did not allow me to take charge as he has petitioned severally to the Revenue Commissioner and Deputy Commissioner and has taken the leading part to make me have no connection with the Durga by spoiling my reputation. since Syed Salik is in Deputy Commissioner's Office he has used his -- influence whereever it is possible.

My charactor and conduct may be ascertained from respectable gentlemen of that locality. The charge of the Durga was given to me by Government after due consideration when there was a great dispute for 28 years on the subject between Syed Isak, my uncle and Syed Salik the Jamadar of Deputy Commissioner's Office I therefore humbly beg your honor to kindly consider my case sympathetically and pass orders to enable me to resume the charge of the Durga.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Address
Syed Kutbudeen
C/o Abdul Rahiman
10, Ballpet, Bangalore City.
Dated 21-7-24

(Sd) Syed Kutbudeen

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

No. C. 16

Date of issue 7-8-22

To

The Muzrai Commr

Signed by M. T. Ramaiengar

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no C. 533 dy 16-7-24 in the matter of the Muzarear's post of Raja Hussain Dargah at Balepet, Bangalore, I am directed to state that in his petition to Govt dated 20-4-22

over.

Syed Khuthubsin did not
relinquish his office of
Muzaraan in question absolutely
but only wanted it to be
transferred to Syed Issac
for reasons mentioned
in the petition, ~~and that~~ ~~his~~
This request of his cannot
be granted as in case
of his relinquishing the
office, it will have to go
not to any nominee
of his, but to such
person as may be
declared by the Govt
to be the next heir
entitled to succeed to it.
However as Syed Khuthubsin
has submitted another
petition dt 21-7-1924
(herewith forwarded)
in which he has asked
that he might be allowed

List of enclosures 2

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563– GPB—2,500—27 7 22

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

to continue in the appointment as Syed Issac in whose favor he was prepared to relinquish it had since died, ~~I am further to state that~~ no further action is called for on his previous petition.

2- With regard to the observations made by you against the petr — that the man holds the office of Khazi at Kandikere in the Chickanaikanahalli Taluk, that he is not educated or competent to conduct the duties and other services and is idling his time in bad company and that

WD 2230—GPB—1,00,000—23-7-20

he never entered at all
on his duties as Mujawar
of the Darga in question
Since ~~petn's~~ he was ~~appointment~~ appointed
to it in 1921, I am
to request you to deal
with this aspect of the
case separately by making
necessary enquiries
and ordering the man's
removal from the
Mujawar's place
if satisfactory grounds
are made out for
taking such a step.
(2) The petitions of
Khurshedji and the
Vera-reads bearing
on the case, are
herewith returned

I have etc.

Sd/- 1.8.24

Mr. Rev. Secretary

Sd/- 30/7/24